	Casca 3 e0 6:06-000 6080 657 - SID obcourment 12/021 Frilled 112/221/2000 6 Page of 3 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	HAMED CEATER OF AMERICA
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 06 0687 SI
14 15	Plaintiff,) (PROPOSED] ORDER FINDING (V.) EXCLUDABLE TIME
16) UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT) 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)&(B)(iv)
17)
18	BRUCE BARKER,
19	Defendant.
20	
21	
22	The parties appeared before the Court on December 15, 2006. Assistant United
23	States Attorney Susan Badger appeared on behalf of the government. Lidia Stiglich
24	appeared on behalf of the defendant, who was present and out of custody. This was Ms.
25	Stiglich's first appearance in the case on behalf of the defendant. On December 14, 2006, Lidia Stiglich of Stiglich & Hinckley, LLP filed a Notice of Substitution of Counsel re
26	Defendant Bruce Barker.
27	Counsel for the government advised the Court that she was scheduled to try the
28	2 2 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	[PROP.] ORDER
	CR 06 0687 SI 1

_ -

case of <u>United States v. Quan</u>, CR 04 0323 WBS starting on January 16, 2007. The Court in that case has set aside three months for the trial. Accordingly, for the period between approximately January 16, 2007 and April 16, 2007, government counsel will not be available to prepare for motions or for trial in the above-captioned case.

Ms. Stiglich advised the Court that she was just coming into the case and had not yet received discovery. She also stated that between December 15, 2006 and early April 2007, she had commitments in two other cases, including an out-of-district case that would require considerable time and attention. She estimated that she would need the time between December 15, 2006 and early April 2007 to become familiar with the discovery in the instant case, to confer with her client, and to make a determination as to how her client wishes to proceed in this case.

The parties requested a continuance of the matter until April 6, 2007 at 11:00 a.m. before this Court for status. At that time, the parties will be prepared to set the matter for trial or advise the Court about progress toward resolution. The parties jointly requested that the time from December 15, 2006 through April 6, 2007 be excluded from the time requirements of the Speedy Trial Act in order to provide continuity of government counsel and in order to provide defense counsel reasonable time for effective preparation taking into account due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(A) & (B)(iv). The defendant stated that he concurred in the requests to continue the matter and for a finding of excludable time.

Upon the motion of the parties and for good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time from December 15, 2006 through April 6, 2007 is excluded from the time requirements of the Speedy Trial Act in order to provide the government continuity of counsel and in order to provide defense counsel reasonable time for

///

///

> [PROP.] ORDER CR 06 0687 SI

effective preparation taking into account due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv). IT IS SO ORDERED. United States District Judge

[PROP.] ORDER CR 06 0687 SI